1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
8	FOR THE COLINT	Y OF MULTNOMAH
9	TOR THE COUNT	1 Of MODINOWIN
10		Case No. 17CV33824
11	YVONNE JOHNPOLL,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
12	Plaintiff, v.	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO ALLEGE PUNITIVE
13	BRIX TAVERN, LLC	DAMAGES
14	Defendant.	
15		
16		
17	On March 6, 2018, at 2:00 pm, plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint to	
18	Allege Punitive Damages came before the Court. Plaintiff was represented by her attorney	
19	Kristin Olson. Defendant was represented by its attorneys Brad Hill and Sarah Pettey.	
20		
21	After considering the motion, oral argument, and briefing of the parties, and being	
22	fully informed, it is hereby FOUND, CONCL	LUDED AND ORDERED:
23		
24		
25	///	
26		

Page 1–ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO ALLEGE PUNITIVE DAMAGES

1		
1	Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint to Allege Punitive Damages is	
2	GRANTED. Plaintiff shall submit her proposed First Amended Complaint for filing with the	
3	Court. Signed: 4/11/2018 11:41 AM MAGMANA	
5	Signed: 4/11/2018 11:41 AM Sircuit Court Judge Marilyn E. Litzenberger	
6 7		
8		
9		
11		
12		
1314		
15	Approved by:	
16 17	s/Brad Hill Brad Hill, attorney for defendant	
18 19	4/0/19	
20	Date	
21	Submitted by: Kristin L. Olson, OSB No. 031333	
2223	kolson@olsonbroksby.com OLSON BROOKSBY PC 1020 SW Taylor Street, Ste. 400 Portland, Oregon 97205	
24		
25	(503) 740-7608 Attorneys for plaintiff	
26		

Page 2-ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO ALLEGE PUNITIVE DAMAGES

1	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH UTCR 5.100(2)		
2	(a) I certify that on March 6, 2018, I served the foregoing PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO ALLEGE PUNITIVE DAMAGES		
3	on the following:		
4	Sarah Pettey		
5	Brad Hill		
	Wiles Law Group 510 SW Fifth Avenue, Sixth		
6	Floor		
7	Portland, OR 97204		
,	Via US mail and email		
8	Attorneys for Brix Tavern		
9	(b) This proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial signature because:		
10	1. [] Each party affected by this order or judgment has stipulated to the order or judgment, as		
11	shown by each party's signature on the document being submitted.		
12	2. [X] Each party affected by this order or judgment has approved the order or		
12	indepent as shown by each party's signature on the document being submitted or by		
13	written confirmation of approval sent to me.		
14			
1 =	3. [] I have served a copy of this order or judgment on each party entitled to service and:		
15	a. [] No objection has been served on me.		
16			
17	b. [] I received objections that I could not resolve with a party despite reasonable efforts to		
17	do so. I have filed a copy of the objections I received and indicated which objections remain		
18	unresolved.		
10	c. [] After conferring about objections, [role and name of objecting party] agreed to		
19			
20	independently file any remaining objection.		
2.1	4. [] Service is not required pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, or by statute, rule, or		
21	otherwise. UTCR 8/1/16 5.5 (including out-of-cycle amendment of 5.100)		
22			
	5. [] This is a proposed judgment that includes an award of punitive damages and notice has		
23	been served on the Director of the Crime Victims' Assistance Section as required by		
24	subsection (5) of this rule.		
	6. [] Other:"		
25	0.[] Ouler		
26			

1	By <u>/s/ Kristin L. Olson</u> Kristin L. Olson
2	Of Attorneys for plaintiff
3	Of Theories for planning
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	